1	PATTISHALL, MCAULIFFE, NEWBURY		
2	HILLIARD & GERALDSON LLP JONATHAN S. JENNINGS 2 AM 11:55		
3	PHILLIP BARENGOLTS 311 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 5000 Chicago, IL 60606	ORIGINAL	
4	lephone: (312) 554-8000 High (1977)		
5	`	E-Filing	
6	GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP CLEMENT L. GLYNN, Bar No. 57117	FILED	
7	DAVID LAVINE, Bar No. 166744 One Walnut Creek Center	JUN 2 2 2005	
8	100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500 Walnut Creek, CA 94596	JUN 2 2 2005	
	Telephone: (925) 210-2800	Plous	
9	Facsimile: (925) 945-1975	RICHARD W. WIEKING OLERKUS. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff, PepsiCo, Inc.		
11			
12	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTE	RICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	,	C N C 05 0121 4 NATE I	
14	PEPSICO, INC., a North Carolina	Case No. C 05 01314 MEJ	
15	corporation,	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT	
16	Plaintiff,		
) vs.)		
17	j j		
18	AC FOODS WHOLESALE, a California) corporation; and DOES 1-10,		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21	Plaintiff, PepsiCo, Inc., and defendant	AC Foods Wholesale ("AC Foods") hereby	
22	stipulate to entry of final judgment as follows:		
23	1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction	on by virtue of the fact that	
	1. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction	on by virtue of the fact that.	
24 25	(a) this is an action arising under th	e Trademark Act of 1946, as amended, 15 U.S.C	
26	•	iction being conferred in accordance with 15	
-° 27		•	
	U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) and (b); and		
28		1	
		- 1 -	

Case 3:05-cv-01314-MEJ Document 10 Filed 06/22/05 Page 2 of 6

- 1 (b) jurisdiction for the California state statutory and common law claims is conferred
 2 in accordance with the principles of supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
 3 1367(a).
- 4
- 2. This Court has personal jurisdiction over defendant AC Foods.
- Wenue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. 1391(b) in that a substantial part of the
- 7 events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district or under 28 U.S.C. §
- 8 1391(a) in that the defendant is deemed to reside in this district because it is subject to personal
- 9 jurisdiction in this district.

10

- 11 4. Plaintiff, PepsiCo, Inc., is the owner, inter alia, of the following federal trademark
- registrations issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office for marks used in
- connection with its PEPSI soft drinks ("PEPSI marks"):

14

15	MARK	REG. NO.	REG. DATE	GOODS
16	PEPSI	824,150	Feb. 14, 1967	Soft drinks and syrups and
17				concentrates for the preparation thereof
18	PERCLACOLA	004.151	E 1 14 1067	
19	PEPSI-COLA	824,151	Feb. 14, 1967	Soft drinks and syrups and concentrates for the
20				preparation thereof
21	Design mark	824,153	Feb. 14, 1967	Soft Drinks
22	PEPSI and Design	2,100,417	Sept. 23, 1997	Soft Drinks
23	PEPSI and Design	2,104,304	Oct. 7, 1997	Soft Drinks
0.4				

- 24
- 25 Said registrations are valid, subsisting, incontestable and constitute conclusive evidence of
- plaintiff's exclusive right to use the PEPSI marks for the goods specified in the registrations,
- 27 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1065 and 1115(b).

28

Case 3:05-cv-01314-MEJ Document 10 Filed 06/22/05 Page 3 of 6

1	5.	Witho	ut plaintiff's consent, defendant has sold in the United States soft drinks
2	manufactured in Mexico bearing the PEPSI marks owned and registered in the United States by		
3	PepsiCo (the "Mexican product").		
4 5	6.	The de	efendant's sale of the Mexican product in the United States without plaintiff's
6	consent constitutes:		
7 8		(a)	trademark infringement in violation of section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §
9		1114;	
10 11		(b)	unfair competition in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C.
12		1125(a); and
13		(c)	unfair competition in violation of California Business and Professions Code
14		Sectio	n 17200, et seq.; and
15 16		(d)	dilution of PepsiCo, Inc.'s famous PEPSI marks and damage to the business
17			
18			tion of PepsiCo, Inc., in violation of California Business Professions Code Section
19		14330).
20	7.	Jose L	Pulido shall be an agent of AC Foods authorized to receive any subpoena to
21	obtain deposition or trial testimony regarding its knowledge of the importation into, and sale and		
22	distribution in the United States of the Mexican product by third parties. AC Foods shall		
23 24	immediately inform PepsiCo, Inc., care of Jonathan S. Jennings, 311 S. Wacker Drive, Suite		
24 25	5000, Chicago, Illinois 60606, if this address for service changes and of any new address for such		
26	servic	_	
27	2		
28			

Case 3:05-cv-01314-MEJ Document 10 Filed 06/22/05 Page 4 of 6

1	8. AC Foods, its officers, agents, servants, employees, successors and assigns, and all others
2	in active concert or participation with them, are permanently enjoined and restrained from the
3	importation into, and the dealing, marketing, sale or distribution in the United States of soft
4	drinks manufactured or bottled in Mexico, or any other foreign country, bearing PepsiCo, Inc.'s
5	PEPSI marks.
6	
7	9. The case against the Doe defendants is dismissed without prejudice.
8 9	10. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this action for purposes of construing and
10	ensuring compliance with this Final Judgment.
11	THE CLOCK OF COURT Shall Close the file. so ordered and adjudged:
12	Date: 6/22/05
13	Judge Maria/Elena James
14	United States Magistrate Judge
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	CONSENTED AND AGREED TO:
2	On behalf of PepsiCo, Inc.
3	PATTISHALL, MCAULIFFE, NEWBURY
4	HILLIARD & GERALDSON LLP JONATHAN S. JENNINGS
5	PHILLIP BARENGOLTS 311 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 5000
6	Chicago, Illinois 60606
7	GLYNN & FINLEY, LLP
8	CLEMENT L. GLYNN, Bar No. 57117 DAVID LAVINE, Bar No. 166744
9	One Walnut Creek Center 100 Pringle Avenue, Suite 500
10	Walnut Creek, California 94596
l 1	Ву:
12	David Lavine
13	Dated: 6 (20/05)
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff,
15	PepsiCo, Inc.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

AC FOODS WHOLESALE

By:

Jose L. Phildo

President

Dated: 6/8/05

1	Docket No. C05 01314 MEJ	
2	PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL	
3		
4	I, LINDA VALLONE, the undersigned, hereby certify and declare under penalty	
5	of perjury that the following statements are true and correct:	
6	1. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within cause.	
7	2. My business address is One Walnut Creek Center, 100 Pringle Avenue,	
8	Suite 500, Walnut Creek, CA 94596.	
9	3. I am familiar with my employer's mail collection and processing practices;	
10	know that said mail is collected and deposited with the United States Postal Service on the same	
11	day it is deposited in interoffice mail; and know that postage thereon is fully prepaid.	
12	4. Following said practice, on June 20, 2005 I served a true and correct copy	
13	of the attached document(s) entitled exactly:	
14	Final Judgment	
15	by placing it in an addressed, sealed envelope and depositing it in regularly maintained	
16	interoffice mail to the following:	
17	Jose Pulido	
18		
19	Milpitas, CA 95035	
20	Executed this 20th day of June, 2005 at Walnut Creek, California.	
21	2 2 201	
22	Linda Vallone	
23	$\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{\mathbf{v}}$	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	1	
	- 1 -	